Approved For Release 2001/07/26 : CIA-RDP75-00149R0001001600 FOIAb3b

CPYRGHT

Bobby Is Rewriting History With New Invasion Story

By HAL HENDRIX Miami News Latin America Editor

Who is trying to kid whom in Washington these days?

In the current issue of I.S. News & World Report nagazine, U.S. Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy states in a converighted inrrview that the United lates never promised any ir support for the Cuban ay of Pigs invasion.

Apparently, 21 months aftthe Cuban freedom fights swarmed ashore at Illava Giron and discovered o late they were alone nd stranded, the Kennedy klministration is determined rewrite its history to suit elf.



Many corrageous memters of the brigade, top officials of the Cuban Revolutonary Countil - in whose name the invasion was launched - illers in the Cuhan exile air force and a fairly sizable number of . S. officials it volved in the planning and Araining phase f the invas fn, share a comletely different recollection f the explis and pledges eading 141 to the Bay of igs on 🔼 12, 1961.

In their Arriting of his-



HENDRIX

tory the Kennedy Administration spokesmen, such as the brother of the President, seem to forget that all these people exist and have vivid memories of their abandonment at the last hour on Playa Giron.



Inasmuch as Attorney General Kennedy has taken at least two of the brigade leaders - Mamiel Aftime and Jose A. Perez San Roman - under his wing with promises of help in the future, it is not too surprising that they have shown a willingness to go along quietly with the New Frontier alteract ations on what transpired during the sudden death of the invasion.

But judging from the increasing rumbles among the brigade's rank - and file, future leadership of the brigger gade by Artime and San Roman now is very much in

question. There is much talk among the loyal freedom fighters that they have been "sold down the river."

There also is new bitterness being directed at the Revolutionary Council, which has been openly backed by the Kennedy Administration. for allowing the attorney general's "no promised support" statements to go unchallenged.



It is pointed out by embittered Cuban exiles and U. S. officials alike that if both the New Frontier and the Revolutionary Council really knew at the time the invasion was launched that there would be no support for the relatively small land. ing force, why then did the U. S. and the Council dump the invaders on the beach the first place?

Also, in view of Robert Kennedy's statements, if no U. S. support were planned, why did the U.S. have two carriers sitting off shore with jet fighters ready to take to the air? Why did U.S. Navy "frogmen" participate in pre-landing operations? Why were the liberated prisoners briefed on last month's freedom airlift to say nothing of the U.S. role in the tragic invasion: Why?